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- Numerical specifications (Link Specifications)
- Express or learn a similarity from RDF data
- Generate links using frameworks such as SILK or LIMES
- NLP/IR based approaches
- Change representation: from RDF space to VSM, or embedding spaces
- Compute or learn a similarity on this new space
- Logical link specifications
- Key-based: combine keys and alignments for deducing links
- Link keys: cross dataset, generalization of keys without requiring alignment between properties or concepts
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## What is a link key?

## two sets of property pairs and a pair of classes like



On this example, the link key will generate the link ( $a$, owl: sameAs, $b$ )

## A more complex example

## They may be several expressions having the form of link keys

| $D$ (Employés) |  |  |  |  | $D^{\prime}$ (Staff) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| id | prenom | datenaiss | poste | bât. | firstname | birthdate | position | building | id |
| $i_{2}$ | Paul | 1967 | Dir. | B2 | Paul |  | Dir. | B2 | $z_{2}$ |
| $i_{3}$ | Mary | 1963 | Dir. | B1 | Mary |  | Dir. | B1 | $z_{3}$ |
| $i_{4}$ | John | 1963 | Pr. | B1 | John |  | Pr. | B1 | $z_{4}$ |
| $i_{6}$ | Bill | 1980 | Pr. | B1 | William | 1980 | Pr. | $z_{6}$ |  |
| $i_{7}$ | Ana | 1947 | Dir. | B2 | Ana | 1947 | Dir. | $z_{7}$ |  |
| $i_{8}$ | John | 1967 | Pr. | B2 | John | 1967 | Pr. | $z_{8}$ |  |

Example of link key expressions:
$>k=\langle\{ \},\{\langle$ datenaiss, birthdate $\rangle\},\langle$ Employe, Staff $\rangle\rangle$
$\rightarrow h=\langle\{\langle$ datenaiss, birthdate $\rangle\},\{\langle$ poste, position $\rangle\}\langle$ Employe, Staff $\rangle\rangle$
$>l=\langle\{\langle$ datenaiss, birthdate $\rangle,\langle$ poste, position $\rangle\},\{\langle$ poste, position $\rangle\},\langle$ Employe, Staff $\rangle\rangle$
And generated links (if used as link keys):
$\rightarrow L_{k}^{D, D^{\prime}}=\left\{\left\langle i_{7}, z_{7}\right\rangle,\left\langle i_{8}, z_{8}\right\rangle,\left\langle i_{6}, z_{6}\right\rangle,\left\langle i_{2}, z_{8}\right\rangle\right\}$
$>L_{l}^{D, D^{\prime}}=L_{h}^{D, D^{\prime}}=\left\{\left\langle i_{7}, z_{7}\right\rangle,\left\langle i_{8}, z_{8}\right\rangle,\left\langle i_{6}, z_{6}\right\rangle\right\}$
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## Link key candidate reduction and selection

## Problem: How to induce link keys from data?

The number of set of pairs of properties is exponential

Our approach:

- restrict on link key expressions that would generate at least one link between the datasets
- consider only closed expressions : those which are maximal for a set of links
we call such expressions "link key candidates" (LKC) and we extract them using Formal Concept Analysis


# Formal context for candidate link key extraction 

The formal context for link key candidates $\langle G, \mathcal{M}, I\rangle$ is:

| $M$ | $\ldots$ | $\exists\left\langle p_{i}, p_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\forall\left\langle p_{i}, p_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |
| $\left\langle o, o^{\prime}\right\rangle$ | $\ldots$ | 1 iff $p^{D}(o) \cap p^{\prime D^{\prime}}\left(o^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1 iff $p^{D}(o)=p^{\prime D^{\prime}}\left(o^{\prime}\right)$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |

- $G$ : the set of pairs of objects from each dataset
- M : two sets of pairs of properties from each dataset
- $\exists$ : if the objects share at least one value $\left(\left\langle o, o^{\prime}\right\rangle I \exists\left\langle p_{i}, p_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)$
- $\forall$ : if the object have the same values $\left(\left\langle o, o^{\prime}\right\rangle N\left\langle p_{i}, p_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)$


## Lattice of extracted link key candidates



FCA link key extraction is implemented in Linkex (https://gitlab.inria.fr/moex/linkex)

Characteristics/functionalities:

- Fully unsupervised: only two RDF datasets as input.
- Normalization of textual content: lowercase, remove diacritics, tokenization, sort
- Can compute inverse and composition of properties
- Compute the class expression of concepts (i.e. covering instances)
- Different output formats: Alignment Format, GraphViz (dot), tabular file, etc.
- Implementation of diverse quality measures (discriminability, coverage, etc)
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## Data interlinking

Link keys

Link key candidates extraction (with FCA)

Link key candidate reduction and selection

## The problem: link key selection

Many link key candidates can be extracted!
ex: OAEI Spimbench task, $>2 k$ candidates

How to reduce the lattice and select the interesting/representative ones?

1. Reduce

- Identify redundant LKC according to owl: sameAs semantics
- Representative link key candidates based on clustering

2. Evaluate

- Quality measures: discriminability and coverage

3. Combine: Disjunctions based on antichains

- Explore the antichains of the LKC lattice
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# Pattern structure for link key candidate discovery 



## Pattern structure: $(G,(E, \sqcap), \delta)$

| PS objects | Descriptions $(\delta)$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $G$ | $E$ |
| $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ | $\left\{\exists\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right), \exists\left(p_{2}, q_{2}\right)\right\}$ |
| $\left(a_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ | $\left\{\exists\left(p_{2}, q_{2}\right)\right\}$ |
| $\left(a_{2}, b_{1}\right)$ | $\left\{\exists\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right)\right\}$ |
| $\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)$ | $\left\{\exists\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right), \exists\left(p_{2}, q_{2}\right)\right\}$ |
| $\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)$ | $\left\{\forall\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right), \exists\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right), \exists\left(p_{2}, q_{2}\right)\right\}$ |
| $\left(a_{4}, b_{4}\right)$ | $\left\{\forall\left(p_{3}, q_{3}\right), \exists\left(p_{3}, q_{3}\right)\right\}$ |
| $\left(a_{4}, b_{5}\right)$ | $\left\{\forall\left(p_{4}, q_{4}\right), \exists\left(p_{4}, q_{4}\right)\right\}$ |
| $\left(a_{5}, b_{4}\right)$ | $\left\{\forall\left(p_{4}, q_{4}\right), \exists\left(p_{4}, q_{4}\right)\right\}$ |
| $\left(a_{5}, b_{5}\right)$ | $\left\{\forall\left(p_{3}, q_{3}\right), \exists\left(p_{3}, q_{3}\right)\right\}$ |

Nacira Abbas, Jérôme David, Amedeo Napoli: Discovery of Link Keys in RDF Data Based on Pattern Structures: Preliminary Steps. CLA 2020: 235-246

## Pattern Structures lattice



## Pattern Structures lattice



## Partition pattern Structures lattice

Idea: use Partition pattern structures to detect redundant LKC


## Link key candidates having the same partition are in the same concept

Nacira Abbas, Alexandre Bazin, Jérôme David, Amedeo Napoli: A Study of the Discovery and Redundancy of Link Keys Between Two RDF Datasets Based on Partition Pattern Structures. CLA 2022: to appear

## This works but ... this is not so useful in practice :- (

| Interlinking task | datasets | \#triple | \#subj | \#prop | \#LKC | \#NRLKC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actor | db:Actor yago:Actor | $\begin{gathered} 94606 \\ 1029580 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5807 \\ 108415 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 2198 | 2177 ( $\downarrow$ 1\%) |
| Album | db:Album yago:Album | $\begin{aligned} & 594144 \\ & 762238 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 85002 \\ 136848 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 44 | 44 |
| Book | db:Book yago:Book | $\begin{aligned} & 247372 \\ & 185032 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29846 \\ & 41849 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 82 | 82 |
| Film | db:Film yago:Film | $\begin{aligned} & 1369600 \\ & 1067084 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82099 \\ 123822 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 18718 | 17643 ( $\downarrow$ 5\%) |
| Mountain | db:Mountain yago:Mountain | $\begin{aligned} & 135442 \\ & 233562 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16397 \\ & 32874 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 39 | 39 |
| Museum | db:Museum yago:Museum | $\begin{gathered} 15940 \\ 163342 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1826 \\ 21050 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 48 | 48 |
| Organization | db:Organization yago:Organization | $\begin{aligned} & 4487205 \\ & 4410854 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 183665 \\ & 430071 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 1425 | 1425 |
| Scientist | db:Scientist yago:Scientist | $\begin{aligned} & 128360 \\ & 671266 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18409 \\ 92828 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 18 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 862 | 862 |
| University | db:University yago:University | $\begin{aligned} & 241838 \\ & 263624 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10352 \\ & 23334 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 213 | 213 |

\#LKC: number of link key candidates. \#NRLKC: number of "non redundant" link key candidates.

## Datasets from

Danai Symeonidou, Luis Galárraga, Nathalie Pernelle, Fatiha Saïs, Fabian M. Suchanek: VICKEY: Mining Conditional Keys on Knowledge Bases. ISWC (1) 2017: 661-677

## Similarity between link keys

## However, a lot of link key candidates generate almost the same links...



We propose to select a subset of representative candidates.

The procedure is as follows:

1. similarity is computed thanks to Jaccard index (between sets of links)
2. LKC are clustered with hierarchical agglomerative clustering
3. clusters are extracted from the resulting hierarchy
4. a representative LK is selected for each cluster

- the LKC that minimizes the distance to the other


## LKC clustering




Cut at 0.5:

- 4 clusters: $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right\},\left\{k_{4}\right\}$ and $\left\{k_{6}\right\}$
- representatives (core): $\left\{k_{3}, k_{4}, k_{6}, k_{7}\right\}$
- This gives a compression ratio of 0.43 while preserving $77 \%$ of links.


## Results on benchmarks



- Almost $50 \%$ of LKC can be removed without loss
- Core LKC are good representative (and preserve F-Measure).

The context:

- Link keys are symbolic and meaningful tool for interlinking data
- Fully unsupervised extraction from data with minimal input
- But... a lot of candidates can be discovered

Contributions:

- A pattern structure for non redundant link key w.r.t. owl: sameAs
- A clustering based strategy for selecting representative subset of LKC

Perspectives:

- Combine this approach with selecting disjunctions of LKC
- Generalize this clustering approach to FCA lattice reduction
- Goal: reduce the \# of concepts while preserving the order
- adapt the similarity measure to FCA lattices
$\frac{|L(a \wedge b)|}{|L(a \vee b)|}$ instead of $\frac{\mid L(a) \cap L() b) \mid}{|L(a) \cup L(b)|}$
- design an optimised algorithm


## Questions?
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